## ATTACHMENT A

```
Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 57 Date Filed: 09/11/2014
```

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                      FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 2
                       Criminal No. 2:13-cr-646-WHW
 3
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
 4
                                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 5
                                      - Sentencing Hearing -
               v.
      DANIEL JENKINS,
             Defendant: :
 8
 9
                                Newark, New Jersey
                                March 11, 2014
10
11
      BEFORE:
12
                      THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. WALLS,
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
     APPEARANCES:
15
          UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
          BY: JOSE ALMONTE
16
               Assistant U.S. Attorney
          For the Government
17
          MICHAEL V. GILBERTI, ESQ.
          For the Defendant
18
19
      Pursuant to Section 753 Title 28 United States Code, the
20
      following transcript is certified to be an accurate record as
      taken stenographically in the above entitled proceedings.
21
22
      S/WALTER J. PERELLI
23
24
      WALTER J. PERELLI, CCR, CRR
25
      Official Court Reporter
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Today is the scheduled sentence day for
 2
      Daniel Jenkins.
                Enter your appearances.
 3
                The rest of you may be seated.
 4
                MR. ALMONTE: Good morning, your Honor. Assistant
 5
      United States Attorney Jose Almonte, on behalf of the
 6
 7
      Government.
 8
               MR. GILBERTI: Good morning, your Honor. Michael
      Gilberti on behalf of Mr. Jenkins, who is standing to my left.
 9
                THE COURT: And tell me, Counsel, has your client read
10
      and reviewed the Presentence Investigation Report?
11
               MR. GILBERTI: Yes, he has.
12
                THE COURT: And as I understand and read, according to
13
       the Sentencing Guidelines, there is an offense level of 31 with
14
15
       a Criminal History Category of VI, which exposes your client to
16
       custody between 188 to 235 months. Do you challenge that?
17
               MR. GILBERTI: Yes, we have, your Honor.
18
                THE COURT: Come to the lectern and let me hear from
19
      you on his behalf.
20
                You may be seated, sir.
21
               MR. GILBERTI: Your Honor, we make really two
22
       challenges.
                   His plea agreement, the underlying offense was a
```

Level 23, Criminal History V. And we kept open the ability to

challenge the possibility that the Probation office would find

23

24

25

him as a career offender.

1 What we have here, your Honor, is the career 2 offender -- you have discretion whether or not to rule that he's a career offender. And in this case, your Honor, what we 3 4 have here is, we're in an era where Congress is conducting 5 hearings on the Draconian results of drug sentencing over the 6 years. And in this case, your Honor, the Government wants to 7 sentence him to 188 to 235 months -- that's 16 and a half to 20 8 years -- for a criminal history that includes less than a pound of drugs. In fact, it's probably less than a half a pound. 9 10 THE COURT: Wait, stop, stop. Slow, slow so that we 11 can make sure that you and I are on the same page as well as 12 the Assistant United States Attorney. 13 I just want to make sure that -- your client, as I 14 understand it, is 40 years of age? 15 MR. GILBERTI: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Is that correct? 17 MR. GILBERTI: That's correct, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And now, turning to page -- page 12 19 of the Presentence Investigation Report. 20 MR. GILBERTI: I have it, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And we'll run over what are juvenile 22 matters. Okay. 23 MR. GILBERTI: Hang on a second, your Honor. THE COURT: And that takes us up to page 15. Right? 24 25 MR. GILBERTI: Correct.

```
1 THE COURT: Am I right so far?
```

- MR. GILBERTI: Yes, you are.
- 3 THE COURT: All right.
- 4 MR. GILBERTI: Correct.
- 5 THE COURT: Now we get to paragraph 58, we have a
- 6 conviction for fraud. Right?
- 7 MR. GILBERTI: Correct.
- 8 THE COURT: We go to paragraph 60 -- by the way, that
- 9 is in November of 1991 when he was age 18. Then we go to page
- 10 16, and at the age of 19, in March of 1993 he's found guilty of
- 11 receiving stolen property by the New Jersey Municipal Court.
- 12 Is that correct?
- MR. GILBERTI: Correct.
- 14 THE COURT: Then we go to November of 1993, in the
- 15 Superior Court of New Jersey in Hudson County vicinage, he's
- 16 convicted of aggravated assault, admitted to simple assault
- which is -- which subjects him to one year of probation.
- 18 Right?
- MR. GILBERTI: Correct.
- THE COURT: He's thereafter arrested, as reflected by
- 21 paragraphs 63 and 64, for a history which I don't know about.
- Does the U.S. Attorney know anything about 63 and 64?
- MR. ALMONTE: No, your Honor, other than --
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Other than what's reflected in
- 25 the paragraph. Is that correct?

```
1
                MR. ALMONTE: Correct, your Honor.
                THE COURT: Paragraph 65, at the age of 21, in June of
 2
       1995, he's found guilty of distribution of CDS within 1,000
 3
 4
       feet of school property, again by the Hudson County vicinage of
       the Superior Court. He's sentenced to five years in State
 5
 6
               He's paroled in June of 1997, and parole is revoked in
 7
       June of 1999. And that's discussed in paragraphs 66 and 67.
 8
               Am I right so far?
 9
               MR. GILBERTI: That's correct, your Honor. And that
10
       involved less than a half an ounce of drugs.
11
               THE COURT: All right. But I'm talking about the
12
       crime now.
                  Okay?
13
               MR. GILBERTI:
                               I understand.
14
               THE COURT: Fair enough?
15
               MR. GILBERTI: Fair enough.
16
               THE COURT: In paragraph 68, he's originally charged
      with aggravated assault on a law enforcement officer, but then
17
      it's amended to "tried to prevent official action," for which
18
      he received six months incarceration by the Superior Court of
19
      Hudson County. That's reflected in the following paragraphs:
20
21
      68a. and b. and c.;
22
               Then in paragraph 70, he's originally charged with
      resisting arrest but it's amended to disorderly conduct for
23
      which he gets six months. That's a disorderly persons;
24
25
               And then at age 24 he's consuming alcohol in a public
```

Document: 003111735933

Date Filed: 09/11/2014

```
place and he's charged with an ordinance violation in paragraph
```

Page: 62

2 74.

Case: 14-1825

- But getting to a more substantive issue, he's
- 4 thereafter found guilty of possession of CDS with intent to
- distribute within 1,000 feet of school property in 2002, April
- 6 14, at the age of 28. He's given five years special probation,
- 7 but he's found in violation of that probation on April 8th and
- 8 he's resentenced to five years in State Prison with a
- 9 three-year parole ineligibility; and
- in paragraph 80 he goes across the river and is found
- guilty of criminal possession of CDS by the New York County
- 12 Supreme Court, for which he gets five years probation.
- For some reason this reflects he has an open bench
- warrant but I don't know why, but be that as it may. And there
- he's charged with possession of narcotics with the intent to
- sell. This arises out of that. He's charged with being found
- 17 at 135th and Amsterdam in New York.
- Now, the point is that I'm looking at -- there's some
- confusion here as far as I'm concerned. Paragraph 83, there's
- a reference made to the Southern District of New York Federal
- 21 Court, but I thought all of this dealt with Supreme Court of
- 22 New York.
- Can you enlighten me on this?
- MR. GILBERTI: I can't, your Honor. We were taken
- 25 by --

```
1
                THE COURT: You see the collateral response of the
       Probation Office of the Southern District indicates the
 2
 3
       defendant absconded from the above probation sentence and the
 4
       bench warrant remains active.
                Unless it's a misprint as far as this action of
 5
 6
       criminal possession of CDS occurred not in the Supreme Court
 7
       but in the Southern District jurisdiction.
 Я
                MR. GILBERTI: Well, it might have been a UFAB, your
 9
               They may have taken the warrant to the Southern
       District and gotten a Uniform -- UFAB application.
10
11
                THE COURT: Is that what they did?
12
                THE PROBATION OFFICER:
                                        No, Judge. This paragraph
13
       just simply means that we asked our counterparts in Manhattan
       to research the warrant for us. There is a warrant from New
14
15
       York County Supreme Court.
16
                THE COURT: Oh. You just asked the Southern District
17
       to do that?
18
                THE PROBATION OFFICER:
                                        Yes.
                THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough. All right. Okay.
19
20
                Then again in October of 2002 he's found quilty of
       possession of CDS, for which he is given five years probation,
21
22
      but then later on that probation is revoked and he's sentenced
23
       to five years with a three-year ineligibility, which relates
       also to the episode of April 14, 2002. The sentence applied to
24
25
      both instances. All right?
```

- 1 MR. GILBERTI: Correct.
- THE COURT: Okay. Then back in December of 2002 he is
- again confronted with possession of CDS with attempt to
- 4 distribute within 1,000 feet of school property in the Superior
- 5 Court of Hudson County, for which he again receives five years
- 6 probation. And then, as with the other two matters, he's found
- 7 guilty in April of 2005 of violation of probation and he's
- 8 sentenced to five years with a three-year period of
- 9 ineligibility, parole ineligibility. So, in other words, he
- has a threesome there: He has three separate convictions which
- 11 merge into a violation of probation.
- 12 Am I right?
- MR. GILBERTI: There were five, your Honor. There
- 14 were five in total.
- THE COURT: Well, we're getting to it slowly, but
- surely we're getting there. All right.
- MR. GILBERTI: The tally sheet right now is three.
- THE COURT: All right.
- Then we have a loitering to obtain and sell CDS in
- October of 2003, which again is the subject of this matter of
- 21 probation revocation and sentencing on April 2005. Right?
- MR. GILBERTI: Correct. That's four.
- THE COURT: That's four.
- Now we started out earlier when he was 18. See,
- 25 that's wonderful. He started out at 18. He's now age 29.

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 65 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

- 1 He's charged with bail-jumping in Superior Court, Hudson County
- 2 at age of 30, and he was subjected to being sent to State
- Prison for seven years with a 42-month parole disqualifier, but
- 4 the prosecutor recommended that he participate in a long-term
- 5 in-patient drug program;
- At the age of 35 he's convicted of harassment by the
- 7 New Jersey -- strike that -- by the Jersey City Municipal Court
- 8 which he's required to do 240 hours community service.
- 9 Now that's the history. Am I right?
- MR. GILBERTI: You're correct, your Honor,
- THE COURT: I wanted to make sure I spelled it out
- particularly for anybody who might be interested in hearing it.
- So consequently, based upon that, what do you claim?
- MR. GILBERTI: Your Honor, well, first, there was the
- 15 five --
- THE COURT: Do you challenge any of the history?
- MR. GILBERTI: I'm challenging the severity of the
- 18 history.
- 19 THE COURT: I'm not talking about that. You're
- 20 talking about the effect --
- MR. GILBERTI: I'm not challenging the facts of the
- 22 history.
- THE COURT: That's what I'm driving at.
- MR. GILBERTI: No, I'm not challenging the facts of
- 25 the history.

1 THE COURT: Go ahead.

- MR. GILBERTI: What I am challenging, your Honor, is
- 3 the severity of the history. The five offenses that you just
- 4 went through, they were all resolved on the same day, ended up
- 5 in -- originally a probationary sentence, and they were all
- 6 second and third -- they were all third and fourth degree and
- 7 municipal court level offenses, they were disorderly persons
- 8 offenses.
- 9 THE COURT: Not the drug matters.
- MR. GILBERTI: The drugs that were involved there were
- 11 relatively small --
- 12 THE COURT: CDS within a thousand feet of school
- 13 property --
- MR. GILBERTI: You're right there, your Honor, you're
- 15 right there.
- But they gave -- they ended up -- ending up in 5
- offenses that account for seven criminal history points ending
- up in a probationary sentence. Now he violated that because of
- 19 his drug problem.
- THE COURT: Regardless of what reason he violated it.
- 21 for which he later received a custodial term in the State
- 22 Prison --
- MR. GILBERTI: Correct.
- THE COURT: -- with a parole disclaimer.
- MR. GILBERTI: But the net effect, your Honor, is,

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 67 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

- even though there were intervening offenses or arrests -- and I
- 2 understand the legal significance of that -- what I'm
- 3 suggesting to you is, the way that the prosecution in the state
- 4 decided to resolve that matter overstates this thing. It was
- 5 not a -- originally it was not a custodial sentence. And
- 6 you're applying seven criminal history points for what were
- 7 relatively minor -- and again, in a hierarchical sense,
- 8 relatively minor criminal conduct --
- 9 THE COURT: This is not like it was armed robbery, I
- 10 understand, or rape --
- MR. GILBERTI: Right.
- 12 THE COURT: -- or treason. I understand that. Go
- 13 ahead.
- MR. GILBERTI: And that's the basis of my argument,
- 15 your Honor, that his criminal history is overstated. And when
- 16 you look at it --
- 17 THE COURT: But his criminal history has such
- longevity. He started out -- I didn't bother to deal with the
- juvenile matter in fairness, but since the age of 14 he has
- 20 been in and out of court.
- MR. GILBERTI: That's correct, your Honor. But since
- the age of 30, the only problems he had was violating his
- probation and the harassment charge, which is a municipal court
- 24 matter in Jersey City. That's 10 years, your Honor. He did
- 25 attempt to resurrect his life during that period and was

1 attempting to correct things. And given the disparate

- 2 proportion of the sentence -- I mean, the points that he got
- 3 for what was relatively less important criminal history, we
- 4 believe that, one, it does overstate his life's work to
- 5 characterize him as a career offender based on the relatively
- 6 minor history there. This isn't a guy who was dealing kilo
- 7 amounts of drugs. This isn't --
- 8 THE COURT: But this is a guy who's been given,
- 9 apparently primarily in Hudson County, the benefit of the doubt
- practically every time he appeared, and he appeared regularly
- in the sense that I see nothing but probation, probation.
- 12 probation. At long last the prosecutor said, no more of this.
- Isn't that basically it?
- I mean, it wasn't a situation where he was hit at the
- outset and continued to be so hit with custodial terms. He's
- been given opportunities for rehabilitation throughout his
- 17 youth.
- MR. GILBERTI: You're correct. But in the recent
- 19 years, your Honor -- and again, this gets back to my argument
- about the distance from that, remoteness from that I think
- 21 that's also a factor here. So we believe that for the reasons
- that we set forth in our sentencing memo, when you look at it
- comparatively, you have the discretion -- if you sentence him
- 24 to the amount that's contained in his plea agreement which is a
- Level 23, Criminal History V, he's going to be away for seven

```
1
       to nine years. He has 84 to 105 months. That's more than
       sufficient given his criminal history and given the fact
 2
 3
       offenses in this case, and given the relatively minor amount of
 4
       drugs he's dealt with in his career to make the point, to send
       a message to society from the standpoint of deterrence, it's
 5
 6
       more than sufficient to punish him because he's going to come
       out at age 46 and, you know, he's going to be an older guy
 7
 8
       there, and we've argued about the recidivism rate as people get
 9
       older, and it will also permit him to rehabilitation in the
10
       process, your Honor. So we believe that if you sentence him to
11
       the underlying offense you can make the same point without
12
       putting him away for 16 years when you're --
13
                THE COURT: What specifically is the underlying
       offense, now that you bring it up? What is it? Conspiracy to
14
15
       distribute what?
16
                MR. GILBERTI: It was PCP and heroin. And the amounts
       were 11 grams of PCP, and something like 80 grams or 90 grams
17
       of heroin. You're talking amounts that are less than several
18
19
       ounces; three, four ounces, your Honor.
20
                Again, this is street level matters. And again, I'm
21
       not denigrating street level matters. When I was Chief of
      Narcotics at the U.S. Attorney's Office across the street in
22
       the '80s we would have sent this to the State. For whatever
23
       reason, the Feds and the State are attempting to make a point
24
25
      here. But you can make that same point by sentencing him to
```

the underlying offense, which is substantial.

THE COURT: Well, except that we have a response dated

March 6th, 2014 in direct reply to what you just said. And let

4 me read it to you so that you are aware of what I'm quite sure

5 your adversarial colleague will say when I give him an

opportunity to be heard; that is, he says on page 1: (Reading)

7 Jenkins distributed narcotics to an undercover agent in the

8 course of seven separate transactions. The quantity of PCP

9 .involved in this case was significant, enough that the United

10 States Congress requires this Court to impose a statutory

11 mandatory minimum sentence of at least five years in prison.

That point is taken. All right?

In addition -- this is what is of interest to this

Court -- the quantity of heroin that Jenkins sold to the

undercover agent was enough to supply at least 3900 individual

drug addicts even by the most conservative estimate.

And why I say that is because you as part of your

argument indicate that what he sold was not that, let's say,

19 "Nicky Barnes," or another reputed drug dealer, or the one that

was just apprehended handed in Mexico. But you are aware of my

position with regard to drug dealing.

21

MR. GILBERTI: Yes, I am, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I believe that it is dangerous, so

24 dangerous that it is contagious, that it is destructive of all

of us. So that he was on the street is really of no moment to

```
1
       me.
 2
                MR. GILBERTI: No. I --
 3
                THE COURT: He engaged in something that could kill so
       many people and affect so many people. As I said before and
 4
 5
       I'll say it to you again at the benefit of repetition: Drug
 6
       dealing in this country affects all of us because all of us, if
 7
       we're honest enough, know that someone whom we know or even
 8
       someone who we've been related to or even heard of, have been
 9
       affected by it, either by usage or by being an innocent victim,
10
       or being an innocent victim of a drive-by shooting or mugging.
11
       you know.
12
                And so those -- and it hits everybody. It hits
13
       everybody regardless of race or class or ethnicity, and it cuts
       across borders. It is just -- it is a plague. And it does us
14
15
       no good to say, well, he's a nice boy.
16
                You see, I read a letter from someone saying, well,
       I've known him for 16 years. He's always been nice.
17
18
               As far as I'm concerned, no one selling drugs
19
      willingly is nice.
20
               Go ahead.
21
               MR. GILBERTI: I'm not arguing that point. And to the
22
      extent that you're relying on that information, that's hearsay
      and I don't believe it should be relied on absent a hearing
23
      where we can challenge it. But laying that aside --
24
```

THE COURT: You're talking about the 3900?

25

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 72 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

- 1 MR. GILBERTI: Yes, that information.
- But laying that aside, your Honor, I'm not saying he's
- a nice boy, that's not my argument.
- 4 THE COURT: You sent me a letter --
- 5 MR. GILBERTI: I sent you a letter in mitigation of
- 6 sentencing, and that was for part three of the structure. The
- 7 first one is fixing the --
- 8 THE COURT: What you're saying is that -- you're
- 9 saying that seven to nine is sufficient punishment. That's
- what you're saying.
- MR. GILBERTI: That's exact what I'm saying, your
- 12 Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: I see. The point is, you may have a
- point -- I'm not saying do -- but you may have a point. He's
- 15 40 years of age. According to the table he probably has
- another at least 30-plus, 40 years to go statistically unless
- he has an untoward event that shortens his life expectancy. So
- he's really middle age, you know. So the point is, how is he
- 19 going to spend the rest of his life? So far he's spent most of
- 20 his life in and out of jail. Whether it's momentarily or --
- that's why I deliberately went down and chronicled the history.
- 22 So we'll see.
- What else to do you want to tell me before I turn
- 24 it -- with regard to this issue, I want to hear from the
- 25 Assistant United States Attorney. Anything else you want to

- 1 tell me?
- MR. GILBERTI: No. I just wanted to make sure that
- 3 his trend in his life was an upward trend at the time this
- 4 occurred. Now again, the 2009 municipal court thing, and from
- 5 2008 onward, you know, there was very little else. So we would
- 6 submit that to you, and that comes in at the -- you know, for
- 7 the interest of rehabilitation, the aspects of this thing, that
- 8 we can reclaim a life here.
- 9 THE COURT: He's been given ten-plus years of
- 10 rehabilitation attempts. It's almost mind-boggling to say,
- 11 knowing what Hudson County does -- I used to sit on the
- 12 Superior Court here in Excess. But this history is quite
- interesting, that he was given so many times a chance to get
- 14 his act together.
- Anyway, be that as it may.
- MR. GILBERTI: Thank you, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: With regard to this issue.
- MR. ALMONTE: Thank you very much, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Yeah.
- MR. ALMONTE: And as you already stated, you do have
- 21 discretion to depart downward, but the Government would urge
- your Honor to not do so.
- THE COURT: Why?
- MR. ALMONTE: Well, your Honor went through all the
- 25 history, but just to put it in perspective, and I know you

- 1 started with the age of 18. I go back two more years. And
- since the age of 16 to the age of 38 when he was arrested in
- this case, he accumulated 17 convictions. And one was for
- 4 robbery; there were at least two simple assault; and seven drug
- 5 convictions.
- 6 THE COURT: In fairness, in fairness, I appreciate
- you -- I deliberately did not go into the juvenile just from
- 8 the standpoint I figured, you know, even juveniles can be tried
- 9 as adults. But he wasn't, he was tried as a juvenile. So I
- 10 felt I would not consider that in fairness to him because, you
- 11 know, they're crazy teenagers.
- MR. ALMONTE: That's a fair point, your Honor.
- THE COURT: As a parent I know that. Go ahead.
- MR. ALMONTE: So one of the points that the defense
- made in favor of not applying the career offender guidelines is
- 16 that the amount in this case is small --
- 17 THE COURT: Right.
- MR. ALMONTE: -- and that his prior convictions are
- 19 small.
- THE COURT: Right.
- MR. ALMONTE: There are two responses to that. As a
- 22 factual matter that's not correct. Your Honor took interest in
- 23 the 3900 -- or when I stated that the amount of heroin here --
- 24 THE COURT: Which is challenged by your colleague.
- MR. ALMONTE: Right.

```
1
               And your Honor presided over the plea hearing. During
       that colloquy we went specifically and discussed the term
 2
       "brick" and what "brick" means. And that means that that
 3
       amount of heroin was packaged in individual glassine envelopes
 4
       so that it could be distributed to individuals.
                                                        Therefore, one
 5
 6
      brick is equivalent to 50 glassine envelopes for individuals.
 7
       There were 78 bricks of heroin.
                THE COURT: How many?
 8
 9
               MR. ALMONTE: 78.
10
               THE COURT: 78?
               MR. ALMONTE: So --
11
12
               THE COURT: So therefore?
13
               MR. ALMONTE:
                              Therefore that's --
14
                THE COURT: The mathematical calculation doesn't
15
       require my having a hearing.
16
               MR. ALMONTE: Exactly, your Honor. And it's also
17
       stated in the Presentence Report.
18
                So that's where we get the 3900 individual doses.
19
                THE COURT: Well, let's assume -- I take your point.
      Let's assume that that heroin was of that sufficient quantity,
20
21
      so then it would have equated to 3900 hits. But how much is
22
      enough in the context of reasonableness as far as sentence is
23
      concerned? You follow what I'm saying?
```

I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: What you're talking about, should I give

MR. ALMONTE:

24

25

- 1 him 16 years at least according to your -- 188. Right?
- 2 MR. ALMONTE: Yes.
- 3 THE COURT: Or take him out to practically 20 in 235?
- 4 MR. ALMONTE: Your Honor, I --
- 5 THE COURT: That's the spread, isn't it: 188 to 235?
- If I were to give him 235 that would be practically 20 years.
- 7 MR. ALMONTE: Correct.
- 8 THE COURT: Right?
- 9 Twenty times 12, that's what I'm saying. So that's no
- big deal. You follow what I'm saying?
- But I look at it in what I hope to be a reasonable
- 12 situation. There's no parole in our federal system.
- MR. ALMONTE: That's correct.
- 14 THE COURT: So we expect him to do at least 85 percent
- of whatever time I do give him. And at the same time, the
- goals are to punish as well as to afford, if necessary, or if
- appropriate, if appropriate, not if necessary, if appropriate,
- the chance to rehabilitate oneself.
- MR. ALMONTE: Correct.
- THE COURT: So if I give him 20 years I just confine
- 21 him to being a senior citizen in jail. Right?
- MR. ALMONTE: Correct.
- THE COURT: Because he's 40 now. And if I come down
- 24 to 188, he has spent all of his youth and practical middle age
- in jail too. Which one does he deserve? And that's the

- 1 question we have to deal with in the context of the factors
- that we analyze for sentencing and the context of trying to
- afford him a reasonable sentence. And your colleagues speaks
- 4 that for the last more or less 10 years he's been relatively
- 5 clean.
- 6 MR. ALMONTE: Your Honor, that's actually not correct.
- When you take into account --
- 8 THE COURT: Let me hear what you have to say.
- 9 MR. ALMONTE: Well, when you take into account the
- period of incarceration, I believe that offense was in 2004.
- And he was released on parole, then that parole was revoked and
- he was sent back to jail until May of 2008. So the starting
- point is May 2008 because that's when he was released from
- 14 prison. And then about a year later he again committed another
- crime, that one concerns harassment. And then two years after
- that he committed this crime. So he hasn't lived -- it's not
- as if he lived 10 years crime-free in society. Part of that
- 18 was incarceration.
- 19 THE COURT: Let me find out something from you.
- 20 because where is the original plea agreement? Let me see the
- 21 original plea agreement.
- MR. ALMONTE: I don't have the original with me.
- THE COURT: I only have -- I only have a part of it --
- 24 what did we finally end up in the plea agreement with the
- 25 level?

- 1 MR. GILBERTI: It was Level 23.
- 2 THE COURT: I can't hear you.
- 3 MR. GILBERTI: Level 23.
- 4 THE COURT: 23, with a Criminal History of V?
- 5 MR. GILBERTI: Correct.
- 6 THE COURT: Because I don't see how it was reached in
- 7 my copy of the presentence investigation. It stops at 31, and
- 8 I didn't...
- 9 MR. ALMONTE: Your Honor, on page 8 --
- THE COURT: Page 8?
- MR. ALMONTE: -- paragraph 10 it sets forth what the
- 12 agreed total --
- THE COURT: I'm with you now. Yeah, right. So it's
- either 31 or 23, depending on what I determine.
- MR. ALMONTE: Correct, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Oh. I'm with you now. I'm with you now.
- 17 All right.
- 18 What say you with regard to the earlier offense, the
- 19 earlier -- the earlier felony?
- MR. ALMONTE: Well, there were three convictions that
- 21 are the predicate --
- 22 THE COURT: That's what -- I'm examining the
- 23 predicate. Go ahead.
- 24 MR. ALMONTE: -- for the career offender status.
- There was one on June 9th, 1995, and that's found in paragraph

- 1 65.
- THE COURT: Right.
- 3 MR. ALMONTE: And that was for distribution.
- 4 THE COURT: That was, as I recall it, within a
- 5 thousand feet, wasn't it?
- 6 MR. ALMONTE: That's correct, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: At the age of 21 --
- 8 MR. ALMONTE: Correct.
- 9 THE COURT: -- probably in Jersey City, but anyway, in
- 10 Hudson County, he got five years.
- 11 MR. ALMONTE: Correct.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
- MR. ALMONTE: And he didn't learn his lesson then,
- 14 your Honor, because --
- THE COURT: Wait. Slow up, slow up, slow up, slow up.
- We do have some idea of what it was. He distributed
- 17 cocaine. He distributed cocaine of less than a half an ounce.
- MR. ALMONTE: That's correct, your Honor.
- THE COURT: At the same time he allegedly possessed
- 20 heroin of less than a half ounce as well. Okay. All right.
- 21 Go ahead. All right. Now what's -- now that's the
- 22 first. What's the second?
- MR. ALMONTE: The second predicate offense is found on
- paragraph 76.
- THE COURT: At the age of 28.

- 1 MR. ALMONTE: Correct.
- THE COURT: Again, he's charged with and found guilty
- of possession with CDS with attempt to distribute within a
- 4 thousand feet of school property in Hudson County, and he is
- 5 distributing cocaine as well as heroin.
- 6 MR. ALMONTE: As well as -- no, that's correct, your
- 7 Honor, just heroin and cocaine.
- 8 THE COURT: Right. Is that right?
- 9 MR. ALMONTE: Yes, your Honor.
- THE COURT: And he gets five years special probation.
- 11 That's when I was telling you about what happened in Hudson
- 12 County Superior Court.
- 13 All right. And now we have --
- MR. ALMONTE: Then there's one more, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Which is?
- MR. ALMONTE: In paragraph 88.
- 17 THE COURT: Again, possession of CDS with attempt to
- distribute within a thousand feet of school property at age of
- 19 29, and there he's found with six vials of cocaine and 70 bags
- 20 of heroin.
- MR. ALMONTE: That's correct, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Right?
- Go ahead.
- MR. ALMONTE: So two points, your Honor. As a factual
- 25 matter I disagree that those were small offenses. But even if

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 81 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

- 1 your Honor --
- THE COURT: He didn't say they're small offenses, he
- 3 said they're small amounts.
- 4 MR. ALMONTE: Small amounts.
- 5 THE COURT: Right.
- 6 MR. ALMONTE: But if your Honor were to consider those
- 7 small amounts, legally the Third Circuit has affirmed cases
- 8 where the amounts were even smaller. I cited one particular
- 9 case --
- THE COURT: I know, but that's all within the
- 11 discretion I have here though.
- MR. ALMONTE: Of course.
- THE COURT: I mean, I could call something half full;
- 14 you might call it half empty. Both of us should be affirmed
- because if we did a reasonable analysis you would come up with
- 16 a conclusion. Right?
- MR. ALMONTE: But just to highlight one case, your
- 18 Honor, in United States vs. McCleve (phonetic), which is cited
- in the memorandum, in that case a defendant was sentenced to
- 20 151 months and the amount that was distributed was only 3.7
- 21 grams of heroin.
- THE COURT: Yeah. And normally I would say that's a
- 23 good discretion of that particular judge because normally I
- 24 would not go that far.
- MR. ALMONTE: I understand. But I also wanted to

- point out that there is legal support for maintaining the
- 2 career offender status in this particular case.
- 3 THE COURT: That's one judge called it one way and,
- 4 you know, my problem -- we'll deal with that issue -- we'll
- 5 deal with whether I determine him to be a career offender. And
- let me hear again from the defense and then I will have to tell
- 7 you what I think.
- 8 MR. ALMONTE: Thank you, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: I'm talking only about this issue of -- do
- 10 you wish to respond?
- MR. GILBERTI: Just, you hit the nail on the head
- on -- I'm sorry -- on the McCleve case and the cases he cited.
- you're right, your Honor, those both came from the same Court
- of Appeals judge. Neither one of them is precedential --
- THE COURT: That's not the point. We're dealing with
- 16 real life.
- MR. GILBERTI: I understand.
- THE COURT: All I said is that one judge called what
- he had in front of him differently, and that doesn't mean that
- he or she was wrong or incorrect, or right. It just means that
- 21 that's what that person did. And like I said, that's the
- 22 difference between half full and half -- it's not a
- 23 difference -- half full and half empty.
- I'll tell you what my main problem with this case is
- as far as you are concerned: Whether the amounts are

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 83 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

- insignificant or not, according to you, is a secondary issue.
- 2 You know what is significant to me? That over a
- 3 period of 10 years he dealt with drug traffic within a thousand
- feet of school property, and that seemed to be his hallmark in
- 5 trade. And I'd be a fool if I didn't say that that didn't
- 6 impress me, because he is providing opportunity for persons
- 7 well under adult age to be exposed to drug traffic.
- 8 MR. GILBERTI: May I answer that?
- 9 THE COURT: You may. You may respond to it. You
- don't answer it, you may respond to it.
- MR. GILBERTI: Yes.
- 12 THE COURT: And as I said to you before, the
- drug-trafficking has decimated too much of our society, both
- 14 here and in Jersey City and throughout this state and
- throughout other states and other countries. So the point is,
- drug traffic is a contagion, as I said before. And one who
- willingly does it in this situation is doing it out of
- basically two reasons: One, he needs to support his own habit;
- 19 and/or greed.
- But go ahead, respond. What do you have to say?
- MR. GILBERTI: No. Your Honor, having been on the
- defense side for a number of years it's hard in a place like
- Jersey City not to be charged with dealing, if you're dealing.
- And again, I'm not saying it's good to be dealing. But it's --
- 25 it would be -- I would hazard to say you probably can't find an

Document: 003111735933 Page: 84

Date Filed: 09/11/2014

```
area that's not a hundred feet -- a thousand feet from a school
```

- in Jersey City, and a lot of times prosecutors use that to up
- 3 the ante to leverage pleas.
- 4 So I understand your concern, and I understand the
- 5 purpose of the law. The purpose of the law was a shield; it
- 6 was to protect kids in school, to keep them from having -- from
- 7 people from preying on them and dealing with a school. But
- 8 over the years it's become a sword the prosecutors have used.
- 9 They've manipulated transactions or in some places, as I said
- in Jersey City, you probably can't be more than a thousand feet
- 11 from a school. So I think --
- THE COURT: As you reminded me, you know, that coming
- from you is hearsay, isn't it?
- MR. GILBERTI: Absolutely, your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right.
- MR. GILBERTI: But so was your observation.
- 17 (Laughter.)
- MR. GILBERTI: Anyway, your Honor, I understand. I'm
- 19 not --

Case: 14-1825

- THE COURT: The observation I made was just that I
- 21 conclude that the purpose of the law is to hopefully inhibit or
- 22 prevent drug traffic to those who are very susceptible to such
- 23 traffic because they are of young age, and those are school
- 24 children going to and from school.
- MR. GILBERTI: I understand. But I made my comments

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 85 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

```
1 to it. Thank you.
```

- THE COURT: Okay. As far as I'm concerned, based upon
- the circumstances of these offenses occurred in the space of 10
- 4 years involving the specific thrust of drug-trafficking within
- 5 a thousand feet of school property and in amounts that -- --
- 6 whether they be called "insignificant" by any others, by one's
- definition, they are significant as far as I'm concerned in the
- 8 fact that they cause danger and damage and possibly death to
- 9 those who willingly or unwillingly participate, and it shows a
- history which is that of repetition. As far as I'm concerned,
- 11 that's career -- that affords him career offender status, and
- the calculation is 31 with a Criminal History of VI. As far as
- 13 I'm concerned, he is a career offender in the context of
- 14 drug-trafficking.
- All right. That said, I'll hear from you again before
- 16 I sentence him.
- MR. GILBERT: Well, against that backdrop, your Honor,
- 18 you ought to sentence him at the bottom end of the guideline
- 19 range --
- THE COURT: I intend to.
- MR. GILBERTI: -- because I think you've hurt him
- 22 enough at this point.
- THE COURT: No, no, you misunderstood that. I
- have not hurt him; he has hurt himself.
- MR. GILBERTI: I understand.

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 86 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

- THE COURT: When he looks in the mirror he'll find
- someone he can complain about. You know, he doesn't complain
- about Walls or anybody, he or the prosecutor or the Government,
- 4 he complains about who he sees in that mirror.
- 5 MR. GILBERTI: I understand. I wasn't commenting on
- 6 your --
- 7 THE COURT: Unless he's in denial.
- 8 MR. GILBERTI: I was commenting on your intent, I was
- 9 commenting on the result, that the damage was enough. But we'd
- ask that he be, for all the reasons set forth in the letters
- and everything else, he be sentenced at the bottom end of the
- 12 quidelines.
- 13 THE COURT: And I said I would do that.
- Does your client wish to be heard?
- I'll hear from you.
- MR. GILBERTI: Do you want to say something?
- THE DEFENDANT: State my name?
- MR. GILBERTI: No. You can just tell him what you
- 19 want to say.
- THE DEFENDANT: I want to apologize to the Court for
- 21 my actions. I want to apologize to my family.
- First of all, your Honor, I want to let you know that
- 23 I'm accepting responsibility to my actions that I did things
- that I did in my life. I know they wasn't right. I did them.
- I'm here to accept responsibility for them. Once again, I

- apologize to the Court and my family. I'm sorry I had my
- family come all the way from South Carolina for a day such as
- 3 this.
- 4 THE COURT: My concern is -- and I appreciate what
- 5 you're telling me now -- my concern is that, as I've pointed
- out I believe almost repeatedly to your attorney, that in the
- 7 course of your criminal history, you were given numerous
- 8 opportunities for rehabilitation and all of them ended up with
- 9 probation being revoked for the most part, unless I have
- overstated it. I don't think I did. And that's what bothers
- me about you in this; is that you were given so many
- opportunities. You know, you're age 40 now, but long before
- that you were out of Pampers. You were not a child. That's
- why I told the attorney, I was not dealing with your juvenile
- 15 history. In fairness to you, I was not dealing with your
- juvenile history which he wanted me to consider. I did not
- 17 consider that. I'm considering your adult history.
- So tell me about that in the context, why did you blow
- 19 those chances?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I wanted to speak on that.
- Your Honor, really, I really didn't blow those
- chances. I was forced out of those chances. When the judge in
- Jersey City gave me a special probation and a long-term drug
- 24 probation, I attended to that program. I was there at
- 25 Integrity House. There's records that show you I as there. I

```
had a counselor. Her name was Amotalab Mahaney (phonetic).
 1
       don't know what was her reasons, but if you go back deep into
 2
       the records, it was stated that the way she was attacking me
 3
       was from -- she revealed her hand and told me that when I
 4
 5
       entered the drug program that I was to be watched.
                                                           So when she
 6
       told me that, I found out who was supposed to told her who I
 7
       was watched by, and it was supposed to be from the prosecutor.
 8
                So once I found out that, I had got -- I wrote a
 9
       letter to the Ethic Committee in Washington D.C. So when they
10
       came, they came to Jersey City, the court, on my behalf.
11
       wasn't -- my opportunities to go back to the program was --
12
       that's what I wanted to do, but I was forced out by her.
13
                THE COURT: I know. But, good buddy, we're not
14
       talking about the program. We're talking about your later
15
       commission of crimes. You know, that you had some problems
       with that person in Integrity House is not what I was talking
16
17
              I'm talking about the fact that you were given
       about.
18
      opportunity to rehabilitate yourself. The next thing you know
      you're back in court. That's what I'm talking about. Follow
19
20
      what I'm saying?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
               THE COURT: That's what I'm talking about. I'm not
      talking about your experience at Integrity House or the fact
23
24
      that somebody was out to get you there.
25
               You're not claiming that she made you commit crimes,
```

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 89 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

- 1 are you?
- THE DEFENDANT: No. I specified that because you said
- 3 I was given multiple times at probation.
- 4 THE COURT: The point is, probation gives you an
- 5 opportunity to get your act together and start living hopefully
- a normal legal life, that's what I meant by that. Whether
- you're in Integrity House or outside Integrity House, that's
- 8 what I mean by that. That's what I meant by that. That's what
- 9 I mean by it. So I'm talking about that, you know, after you
- were given probation, the next thing you know you're back in
- court for another event, another circumstance.
- Go ahead.
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, you right. I could admit that me
- coming back-and-forth into the system, I had a problem as of my
- own addiction, falling to certain things that I fell to, to
- commit different crimes to come back into the system. I tried.
- I tried even before this case was up, before I caught this
- 18 case.
- THE COURT: All right. Anything else you want to tell
- 20 me?
- THE DEFENDANT: I would hope that you be leniency
- 22 towards my sentence. And once again, I want to thank the
- 23 Court. I want to apologize to the Court and my family.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- THE DEFENDANT: You're welcome.

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 90 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

```
THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
 1
                Let me hear from the Government's representative.
 2
                MR. ALMONTE: The Government doesn't have anything
 3
       else to add, it simply incorporates the March 6th, 2014 letter
 4
       that was addressed to your Honor.
 5
                THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, stand, please.
 6
 7
                You are 40 years of age. And before you reached that
 8
       age, well before you reached that age you have been involved in
 9
       criminal activity for the better part of your adult life.
10
       You're here before me because you pled quilty to conspiring
       planning to distribute, to sell and distribute PCP and heroin,
11
12
       and that arose out of your having sold 11.8 grams of PCP and
13
       89.6 grams of heroin to a confidential informant.
                                                          That's why
14
       you're here precisely before me.
15
                But as I said, we discussed your criminal history. I
16
       took time to place your entire adult criminal history on the
17
       record so that it would be the background in order for me to
18
       listen to arguments of counsel to determine whether you should
19
      be a career offender. And I found that based upon over a
20
      period of time, substantial period of time, you have
21
       inevitably, almost in a chronic situation, found fit to attempt
22
       to or actually sell or distribute drugs within 1,000 feet of
23
      school property, and they form the basis on at least three
24
      occasions where you have been convicted of such crimes, and
25
      they are felonies under our law, and they under a calculation
```

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 91 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

```
require me to determine that you are a career offender.
 1
                And I think realistically based on my analysis you are
 2
 3
       a career offender. As I said, you used the same spot. Now,
       your attorney argues, well, any spot within Jersey City is
 4
       within a thousand feet of school district.
 5
                Well, that really is of no moment. The point is, you
 6
 7
       were selling -- attempting to sell narcotics, as I've said
 8
       before, something that is destructive to too many people for me
 9
       to ignore that. And the amounts are sufficient as far as I'm
10
       concerned to be destructive to too many innocent people, people
11
       who actually use those drugs, people who are relatives of
12
       people who use them, people who are acquaintances. We can
13
       discuss the myriad ways in which drug activity and drug usage
       has damaged, you know, our society, whether it be of one
14
       race -- and it cuts across all races, so it's not a question of
15
       our race, it's a question of the human race -- and it cuts cut
16
       city boundaries and it cuts off state and national boundaries.
17
       It is just destructive. And for that, society, when it has the
18
       opportunity to punish people who voluntarily engage in that
19
20
       activity, should provide appropriate punishment.
21
                So that is the underlying rationale for my determining
       that you are a career offender and also the underlying
22
       rationale for my imposing the sentence I'm about to impose upon
23
      you. You have to be punished so that it stings, and that is to
24
      stop you and to punish you on behalf of society and hopefully
25
```

```
stop others from continuing down this path of drug-trafficking.
 1
 2
                Those who think that you shouldn't be so punished for
 3
       such drug-trafficking are, respectfully, in a state of denial.
 4
       Because there's no question that drug-trafficking to me is as
       onerous and dangerous as any other type of crime short of
 5
 6
       deliberate immediate murder.
 7
                So we punish you in this way so that it stings, no
 8
       more than is necessary, but no less than is necessary because
 9
       it is of such importance to society. I mean, there's no point
10
       in repeating what I've said to all of you in our discussion of
11
       your case this morning. Drug-traffic is destructive.
       not a question of crack cocaine versus cocaine.
12
13
       dealing with a situation where the sentences would be
14
       disparate. This is not that. This is a question where we're
       dealing with a person who willingly sold drugs over a period of
15
       10 years, and I think the appropriate sentence is what I'm
16
17
       about to say.
                At the same time, even though the punishment should
18
       sting, it doesn't mean that you will spend the rest of your
19
       life in jail. You have according to the mortality tables
20
       probably at least 30 to 40, possibly 50 years, 50-plus years to
21
       go unless something untoward happens. Unless some accident
22
       happens to you, unless some serious injury occurs to you, you
23
      have half of your life ahead of you, and so hopefully you will
24
25
      spend that time getting your act together.
```

But pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, 1 it's my judgment that you, Daniel Jenkins, are hereby committed 2 to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a 3 term of 188 months. 4 Upon release from imprisonment, you are to be placed 5 on Supervised Release for a term of five years. 6 7 hours of release of the custody of the Bureau of Prisons you 8 are to report in person to the probation office in the District 9 in which you are released. 10 Now, while you are on Supervised Release, you're not to commit any other federal, state, or local crime; you may not 11 12 possess any firearm or any other dangerous device; you may not 13 possess any illegal controlled substances; and you are to comply with the other standard conditions that have been 14 15 adopted by the Court. 16 I'm going to require that you submit to one drug test 17

within 15 days of the beginning of your period of Supervised Release.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, you are to refrain from the illegal possession and use of drugs, including prescription medication not prescribed to, or in your name; and the use of alcohol; and you are to submit to urinalysis or other forms of testing to ensure compliance.

It is further ordered that you are to submit to evaluation and treatment on an outpatient or in-patient basis

```
as approved by the United States Probation Office. You are to
1
      abide by the rules of any such program and remain in treatment
2
      until you've satisfactorily discharged by the Court.
3
               You don't have the ability to pay a fine; I so make
4
      that determination, and I will waive the fine in this matter.
5
      But you are ordered to pay to the Court a total special
6
      assessment of $100.00, which is due immediately.
7
                You have the right to appeal what I've done pursuant
8
      to Section 3742 of Title 18 United States Code, and if you're
9
      not able to pay you may request the Clerk of the Court to file
10
      a Notice of Appeal on your behalf.
11
                I recommend that the Bureau of Prisons designate a
12
       facility for service of this sentence as near as possible to
13
14
      your home address.
                Anything else?
15
                MR. GILBERTI: Yes, your Honor. We'd ask that you
16
      recommend to the Bureau of Prisons --
17
18
                THE COURT: Come up.
19
                MR. GILBERTI:
                               I'm sorry.
20
                THE COURT: You ask what?
                MR. GILBERTI: We ask that you recommend to the Bureau
21
22
      of Prisons that he be assigned to the Residential Drug Abuse
23
       Program, the 500-hour comprehensive program in the Bureau of
```

Prisons. He needs a judge's recommendation to be --

THE COURT: He has that recommendation.

24

25

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 95 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

- 1 MR. GILBERTI: Thank you, your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: It's called what now?
- 3 MR. GILBERTI: The Residential Drug Abuse Program.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. I so recommend.
- 5 UNIDENTFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Jesus.
- 6 MR. GILBERTI: And also -- and I am only doing this,
- 7 not to incur your wrath from earlier, but I've had situations
- 8 where the Bureau of Prisons has given me a hard time -- I would
- 9 ask you to give him credit on the record for the time he served
- since September 12th of 2012.
- THE COURT: If he's been in federal custody since
- 12 September 12th did you say?
- MR. GILBERTI: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Of 2013?
- MR. GILBERTI: 2012.
- 16 THE COURT: 2012?
- He's entitled to such --
- UNIDENTFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Oh God. Oh, God. Oh
- 19 Jesus. God. Oh Lord. Jesus, Lord, help. Oh, alleluia, help
- 20 me.
- THE COURT: He's entitled to such, and I give him
- 22 credit for it.
- MR. GILBERTI: Okay, thank you. The only reason I
- 24 bring it up is I've had disputes with the Bureau of Prisons in
- 25 the past where it wasn't on the record.

Case: 14-1825 Document: 003111735933 Page: 96 Date Filed: 09/11/2014

```
THE COURT: No, I have no problem with that. As I
 1
       say, if he's been in federal custody since September 12th of
 2
       2012, he's entitled to credit toward the sentence.
 3
                MR. GILBERTI: Thank you, your Honor.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: That's practically two years.
 6
                Anything further from anyone?
 7
                MR. ALMONTE: Nothing from the Government, your Honor.
 8
                THE COURT: All right. So be it.
 9
                He's been sentenced on a Level 31 with a Criminal
10
      History of VI.
11
                MR. GILBERTI: I think his family came a long distance
12
       today. Will they be able to see him downstairs in the bullpen
13
       for about a --
14
                THE COURT: I don't know, that's up to the marshals.
15
       That's up to -- I doubt it.
16
                A MARSHAL: No. It's not allowed.
17
                THE COURT: I'm sorry.
18
                (Conclusion of proceedings.)
19
                                    00000
20
21
22
23
24
25
```